

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, JULY 1, 2013  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S091915**

**PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (DANIEL)  
& SATELE (WILLIAM  
TUPUA)**

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

As to both defendants, we vacate the true findings on the allegations pertaining to the street gang and firearm use enhancements, we vacate one multiple-murder special-circumstance finding for each defendant, and we otherwise affirm the judgments.

Majority opinion by Kennard, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

**S191948**

**JOHN DOE v. HARRIS  
(KAMALA D.)**

Opinion filed

For the reasons we have explained, the general rule in California is that a plea agreement is “ ‘deemed to incorporate and contemplate not only the existing law but the reserve power of the state to amend the law or enact additional laws for the public good and in pursuance of public policy. . . .’ ” (*Gipson, supra*, 117 Cal.App.4th at p. 1070.) It follows, also as a general rule, that requiring the parties’ compliance with changes in the law made retroactive to them does not violate the terms of the plea agreement, nor does the failure of a plea agreement to reference the possibility the law might change translate into an implied promise the defendant will be unaffected by a change in the statutory consequences attending his or her conviction. To that extent, then, the terms of the plea agreement can be affected by changes in the law.

Majority Opinion by Werdegarr, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

Dissenting Opinion by Kennard, J.

**S210260**

G046390 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ  
(ERWIN JOHNNY)**

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

**S073597****PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JUAN  
MANUEL)**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to September 11, 2013, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

**S192828**

B228732 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**LOS ANGELES, CITY OF &  
DOES 1 THROUGH 50 v. S.C.  
(ENGINEERS &  
ARCHITECTS  
ASSOCIATION)**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to September 18, 2013, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

**S184583**

C061150 Third Appellate District

**AMERICAN NURSES  
ASSOCIATION v.  
O'CONNELL (JACK)/  
(AMERICAN DIABETES  
ASSOCIATION)**

Request for judicial notice granted

The request for judicial notice filed May 18, 2011, by amici curiae California Teachers Association, American Federation of Teachers, California Federation of Teachers and California School Employees Association is granted.

The request for judicial notice filed May 18, 2011, by amici curiae Superintendent of Public Instruction Tom Torlakson and California Department of Education is granted as to Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, and H, and denied as to Exhibit G.

**BAR MISC. 4186    IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE  
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,088)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)